

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,894		04/01/2004	Bernd Luhmann	tesa 1631-WCG	9057
27386	7590	09/15/2005	•	EXAMINER	
,		GHLIN & MARC	GORR, RA	GORR, RACHEL F	
875 THIRD A			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	, NY	10022		1711	
NEW TORK	., 141	10022		DATE MALLED: 00/15/200	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/815,894	LUHMANN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Rachel F. Gorr	1711					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply								
VV HIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Au</u>	uaust 2005						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[] :	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2-1 3.3 amonou dotalion office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
			·					
Attachment	c(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/815,894

Art Unit: 1711

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 10-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grindley in view of Ganster.

See Paper No. 051005, paragraphs 4-6.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grindley in view of Ganster and further in view of Clemens.

See Paper No. 051005, paragraphs 8-10.

Claims 7-9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grindley inview of Ganster and further in view of Schumann.

See Paper No. 051005, paragraphs 12-14.

Applicant's arguments filed 8-9-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants argue that the example of Grindley doesn't show a diol. It shows a polyoxypropylene glycol having a molecular weight of 500 prereacted with the diisocyanate (see page 2, top col. 2). The applicants argue that the example doesn't show the NCO/OH ratio or the claims. The example states that stoichiometric amounts are used, which means an NCO/OH ratio of 1/1. One can further back calculate the equivalents of OH and NCO to verify this. They argue that the example doesn't show the specified functionality of the isocyanate. Diisocyanate falls within the functionality specified in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,894

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-3 and 6-19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/816,277. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because see paper no. 051905, paragraph 16.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,894

Art Unit: 1711

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.G.

Se[tember 12, 2005

RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER